



The effects of dietary lipid level on the growth performance, body composition and feed utilization of juvenile kelabau (*Osteochilus melanopleura*)

¹Adi Susanto, ¹Komsanah Sukarti, ¹Andi Nikhlani, ¹Heru Kusdianto, ²Ismail F. Almadi, ²Akhmad S. Sidik

¹ Laboratory of Fish Nutrition, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University, Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia; ² Integrated Laboratory, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University, Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia. Corresponding author: A. Susanto, adisusanto@fpik.unmul.ac.id

Abstract. Kelabau is a herbivorous fish that lives in rivers and lakes on the islands of Kalimantan and Sumatra. Its growth requires nutrients such as proteins, carbohydrates and lipids. Lipid, in addition to supporting the metabolism and absorption of vitamins, also play an important role in providing energy. The purpose of this study was to determine the influence of varied lipid content feed on the growth performance and body composition of kelabau (*Osteochilus melanopleura*). In this study, four isonitrogen, isoCHO experimental diets, with a 1:1 ratio of fish oil and corn oil, were used. The lipid contents of each diet were 5% (A), 7% (B), 9% (C), and 11% (D). The experimental kelabau specimens were obtained from the Mandiangin Freshwater Seed Center, with an average weight of 3.18 ± 0.26 g. The experimental fish were reared in plastic tanks measuring $54.3 \times 38 \times 31.5$ cm³ and filled with 40 liters of water, at a density of 20 fish tank⁻¹. Fish were fed twice a day at satiation for 60 days. The results showed that feed B (7%) resulted in higher growth performance and feed utilization than the other groups (C, D and A) ($p < 0.05$). Furthermore, increasing the lipid content from 5% to 7% influenced the protein, lipid and energy retention.

Key Words: lipid content, protein, isonitrogenous diet.

Introduction. Kelabau (*Osteochilus melanopleura*) is a valuable commodity with a high commercial worth. Since 2013, this fish has been cultivated. *O. melanopleura* is an ecologically important herbivorous fish that plays an important role in river and lake ecosystems. According to research on *O. melanopleura* feeding habits, plants, phytoplankton, and aquatic algae were detected in the digestive tract of *O. melanopleura* (Kotellat et al 1984). The gut of juvenile *O. melanopleura* measuring 200-299 mm contained 83.3 g 100 g⁻¹ plant, but the intestine of larger *O. melanopleura* (300 mm) contained 100 g kg⁻¹ plant (Aizam et al 1983). Efforts have been made to improve *O. melanopleura* growth performance. Susanto et al (2019) observed that kelabau fed with feed containing 31.88 g 100 g⁻¹ protein and 11 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid resulted in the highest growth. Furthermore, Susanto et al (2020) observed that *O. melanopleura* fed with feed comprising 32.76 g 100 g⁻¹ carbohydrate and a lipid range from 9.1 to 9.6 g 100 g⁻¹ exhibited the highest growth performance and feed utilization. Lipid in fish is different from lipid in mammals. Fish lipid contains more than 40 g 100 g⁻¹ long-chain fatty acids (14-22 carbon atoms) that are highly unsaturated. Mammalian lipid rarely contains more than two double bonds per fatty acid molecule whereas fish lipid deposition contains some fatty acids with five or six double bonds (Secci & Parisi 2016). In fish, the liver organ has a higher concentration of fatty acids than adipose tissue, however in mammals, lipids are more concentrated in adipose tissue (Lovell 1989).

Fish requirements for essential fatty acids are different for each fish species (Furuichi 1988). These differences are mainly related to their habitat. Fish that live in the sea need more n-3 fatty acids, while fish that live in fresh water need either only n-3 fatty acids or a combination of n-3 and n-6 fatty acids (Hepher 1990). Among freshwater species such as ayu fish, channel catfish, coho salmon and rainbow trout require 18:3 (n-3) or EPA and/or DHA. Chum salmon, carp and Japanese eel require an equal mixture of 18:2 (n-6) and 18:3 (n-3) while tilapia and *Tilapia zillii* only require 18:2 (n-6) for maximum growth and feed efficiency (Webster & Lim 2002). The protein content of rainbow trout feed can be reduced from 48 to 35 g 100 g⁻¹ without decreasing body weight gain, if the lipid content of the feed is increased from 15 to 20 g 100 g⁻¹ (NRC 1993). When rainbow trout are fed high-fat diet, they develop and store more fat in their perivisceral tissues (Trenzado et al 2018).

The presence of lipid in feed is strongly influenced by the size of fish, age, feeding technique, and feed composition (NRC 1993). The grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) ingesting 36 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid had the best SGR, percent weight growth, and feed consumption rate (Ni et al 2016). *Nibeia albiflora* fed with feed containing 13 g and 15 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid resulted in higher weight and SGR growth than fish fed a diet with 5 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid, while fish fed 13 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid resulted in the best FCR (Wang et al 2016). Black snapper (*Micropterus salmoides*) was able to grow well at a lipid content of 18 g 100 g⁻¹ (Guo et al 2019). The highest daily (weight) growth coefficient (DGC) and protein efficiency ratio (PER) were produced by red catfish (*Hemibagrus wyckioides*) fed with feed containing 10.5 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid (Deng et al 2021). Tilapia (*O. niloticus*) fed with feed containing 7-8.5 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid resulted in the optimum growth rate and feed utilization efficiency (Abdel-Ghany et al 2021). The results of these studies indicated that the requirement for lipid in feed is species-specific. In absence of similar studies conducted on *O. melanopleura*, the present work focuses on the influence of lipids in feed on the growth parameters, in order to optimize *O. melanopleura*'s culture.

Material and Method

Experimental diets. Four isonitrogenous (33.0 g 100 g⁻¹ crude protein) experimental diets containing carbohydrates ranging from 26.94 to 28.63 g 100 g⁻¹ were formulated to contain different lipid levels, and named as feed A (5 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid), feed B (7 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid), feed C (9 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid) and feed D (11 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid). Dietary CP ratio ranged from 7.21-8.57 kcal (Table 1).

Table 1
Composition of experimental diets and nutrient content*

No.	Source of feed ingredients	Composition			
		Feed A (5 g 100 g ⁻¹ lipid)	Feed B (7 g 100 g ⁻¹ lipid)	Feed C (9 g 100 g ⁻¹ lipid)	Feed D (11 g 100 g ⁻¹ lipid)
1	Fish meal	28.25	28.25	28.25	28.25
2	Soybean meal	25.70	25.70	25.70	25.70
3	Wheat flour	14.50	14.50	14.50	14.50
4	Bran flour	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
5	Fish oil	1.55	2.55	3.55	4.55
6	Corn oil	1.55	2.55	3.55	4.55
7	Vitamin mix ¹⁾	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
8	Mineral mix ²⁾	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
9	Coline chloride	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
10	Cmc ³⁾	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
11	Organic chromium	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
12	filler	8.27	6.27	4.27	2.27
		Feed proximate test results			
Protein (g 100 g ⁻¹)		33.1	33.0	33.1	33.0

No.	Source of feed ingredients	Composition			
		Feed A (5 g 100 g ⁻¹ lipid)	Feed B (7 g 100 g ⁻¹ lipid)	Feed C (9 g 100 g ⁻¹ lipid)	Feed D (11 g 100 g ⁻¹ lipid)
	Ether extract (g 100 g ⁻¹)	5.8	7.3	9.6	11.7
	FNE (g 100 g ⁻¹)	30.2	30.8	30.8	28.9
	Energy (Kcal) ⁴⁾	238.35	252.06	267.15	282.72
	C/P (Kcal g ⁻¹ protein)	7.21	7.63	8.34	8.57

*Calculation based on dry weight; ¹⁾In mg kg⁻¹ feed: vit. B₁ 60; vit. B₂ 100; vit. B₁₂ 100; vit. C 2000; vit. K₃ 50; vit. A/D₃ 400; Vit. E 200; Ca pantothenic acid 100; inositol 2000; biotin 300; folic acid 15; niacin 400; ²⁾In mg kg⁻¹ feed: MgSO₄ .7H₂ O 7.5; NaCl 0.5; NaH₂ PO₄ .2H₂ O 12.5; KH₂ PO₄ 16.0; CaHPO₄ .2H₂ O 6.53; Fe citrate 1.25; ZnSO₄ .7H₂ O 0.1765; MnSO₄ .4H₂ O 0.081; CuSO₄ .5HO₂ 0.0155; KIO₃ 0.0015; CoSO₄ 0.0003; ³⁾Carboxymethyl cellulose; ⁴⁾Protein = 3.5 kcal g⁻¹; Free Nitrogen Extract (FNE) = 2.5 kcal g⁻¹; Ether Extract = 8.1 kcal g⁻¹.

Fish rearing. *O. melanopleura* seeds were obtained from the Mandiangin Freshwater Seed Centre in South Kalimantan. *O. melanopleura* were raised in plastic tanks of 54.3 cm x 38 cm x 31.5 cm in size and filled with 40 L of water. Each plastic tank was stocked with 20 fish weighing with average weight of 1.03±0.19 g each. Fish were grown for 60 days by feeding twice a day at satiation, in the morning and evening. A semi-closed circulation system was used to keep *O. melanopleura*. Faeces syphoning was conducted in the morning. The volume of water lost due to syphoning was replenished. Every day, the filters were cleaned. Once a week, the filter tank is cleaned and the water is changed. During the study, the water temperature averaged 28.6±1.0° C, the dissolved oxygen was 4.55-5.10 mg L⁻¹, the pH was 6.82-6.90, and the total ammonia nitrogen was 0.37-0.63 mg L⁻¹. This indicates that the water quality during the study was optimal (Effendi 2003).

Data collection and chemical analysis. Body weight weighing was carried out at the beginning and end of the study in the state of anesthetized fish. Fish were anesthetized using clove oil. Weighing is done to determine the daily growth rate (SGR) (Dewantoro et al 2018). Feed consumed during the study was recorded to determine total feed consumption (Andriani et al 2018), and feed efficiency, protein retention, lipid retention, energy retention (NRC 1993), and protein efficiency ratio (PER) (Coutinho et al 2018). Body proximate analysis was conducted at the beginning and end of the study which was used to determine the nutrient composition of the fish (Takeuchi 1988).

Statistical analysis. This research design is a laboratory experimental model, using a complete randomized design (CRD) consisting of 3 treatments and 5 replicates. Data on feed efficiency, weight growth, total feed consumption, protein retention, lipid retention, and energy retention as well as protein efficiency ratio were analyzed for diversity with ANOVA and continued with Tukey test at 95% confidence interval, using SPSS program.

Results

Weight growth, relative growth, total feed consumption and feed efficiency. The values of feed utilization parameters including weight gain, specific growth rate, relative growth rate, and protein efficiency ratio as well as feed efficiency, protein retention, lipid retention and energy retention of *O. melanopleura* after being reared for 60 days with feed containing different proteins are presented in Table 2. Weight gain, relative growth rate, specific growth rate, average daily growth, protein efficiency ratio, feed utilization efficiency, protein retention, lipid retention, and energy retention were all significantly affected in *O. melanopleura* fed with feed containing a varying lipid concentration ($p \leq 0.05$). The fish group consuming feed with 7 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid content had the highest final weight of 82.3±6.0 g, followed by the fish groups consuming 9 g 100 g⁻¹ and 11 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid. The fish group consuming feed with 5 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid content had the lowest final weight. The best biomass weight growth was obtained in the fish group consuming feed B (7 g 100 g⁻¹) at 63.1±7.3 g, followed by fish consuming feed C (9 g 100 g⁻¹) at

52.4±6.8 g, and eventually feed D (11 g 100 g⁻¹) and feed A (5 g 100 g⁻¹), at 47.6±4.5 g and 46.8±5.3 g, respectively (p≤0.05).

The best relative growth rate was obtained in the fish group fed feed B, which amounted to 5.5±1.1% day⁻¹, equal to the fish group eating feed C, which amounted to 5.2±2.1% day⁻¹, and it was substantially different in the fish groups consuming feed D and A (p≤0.05). The same phenomenon was observed in the specific growth rate, with the fish group consuming feed B having the best specific growth rate of 2.4±0.2% day⁻¹, similar to the fish group consuming feed C, which amounted to 2.3±0.4% day⁻¹, and it was significantly different in fish consuming feed D and A (p≤0.05). The findings of this experiment confirmed that the feed provided can be used by *O. melanopleura* to increase their growth. Fish lipids can be used as a source of energy in addition to carbohydrate to support a variety of activities.

The lipid contained in feed B appeared to provide the highest growth compared to other treatments. This indicates that the lipid content in feed B is able to meet the energy requirements of the fish and utilize protein optimally in its growth, whereas in treatment A the lipid is not optimally utilized in growth because part of the protein portion is catabolized to meet energy for its activities due to low feed energy. This is in line with the opinion of Ensminger et al (1990) which stated that if the energy consumed by fish is insufficient, the fish will catabolize protein to be used in its activities.

Excess lipid in fish groups C and D, on the other hand, does not always result in the highest growth; this is due to the availability of energy in the feed ingested. When the energy content of the feed taken is high, fish tend to limit the amount of feed consumed, limiting the protein content of the feed consumed. If the feed energy content is too low, most of the feed protein will be catabolized to meet energy needs, causing fish to consume a large amount of feed to meet their needs. If the feed energy content is too high, the fish will limit their feed consumption because their basic energy needs have been met (Mokoginta et al 1995).

Table 2
Mean growth parameter values of *Osteochilus melanopleura* reared for 60 days with feed containing different lipid concentrations

Parameters	Feed lipid (% Dry weight)			
	A(5 g 100 g ⁻¹)	B(7 g 100 g ⁻¹)	C(9 g 100 g ⁻¹)	D(11 g 100 g ⁻¹)
Initial weight (g)	22.1±1.3	19.2±1.7	18.1±3.8	22.6±5.8
Final weight (g)	68.9±6.3 ^a	82.3±5.9 ^b	70.5±5.0 ^{ab}	70.3±10.2 ^{ab}
Weight gain (g)	46.8±5.3 ^a	63.1±7.3 ^b	52.4±6.8 ^{ab}	47.6±4.5 ^a
RGR (% day ⁻¹)	3.5±0.3 ^a	5.5±1.1 ^b	5.2±2.1 ^{ab}	3.6±0.6 ^a
ADG (g day ⁻¹)	0.8±0.1 ^a	1.0±0.1 ^b	0.9±0.1 ^{ab}	0.8±0.1 ^a
SGR (% day ⁻¹)	1.9±0.1 ^a	2.4±0.2 ^b	2.3±0.4 ^{ab}	1.9±0.2 ^a
TFC (%)	87.3±6.3 ^a	79.7±11.7 ^a	81.4±5.4 ^a	77.9±3.3 ^a
PER (%)	1.6±0.2 ^a	2.4±0.3 ^b	2.0±0.2 ^a	1.9±0.1 ^a
FE (%)	54.0±7.8 ^a	79.9±10.5 ^b	64.2±5.7 ^a	61.2±4.7 ^a
PR (%)	110.8±14.3 ^a	153.2±18.6 ^b	125.7±10.4 ^a	120.3±10.0 ^a
LR (%)	175.5±41.6 ^a	267.6±33.8 ^b	164.7±22.4 ^a	135.1±23.0 ^a
ER (%)	89.5±14.3 ^a	133.6±16.5 ^b	101.7±10.1 ^a	94.3±9.1 ^a

RGR-relative growth rate; SGR-specific growth rate; ADG-average daily growth; TFC-total feed consumption; PER-protein efficiency ratio; FE-feed efficiency; PR-protein retention; LR-lipid retention; ER-energy retention. Numbers followed by the same letter in the same row indicate no significant difference (p>0.05).

O. melanopleura fish fed with C consumed more feed than under the other treatments, although the levels of feed intake in these four groups of fish were not significantly different (p≥0.05). The feed utilization rate of *O. melanopleura* fed with varied lipid content feed indicated a satisfactory utilization rate. *O. melanopleura* consuming feed B had the lowest protein efficiency ratio (PER) compared to fish consuming feed C, D and A (p≤0.05). The best feed efficiency value, 79.9±10.5%, was obtained in fish consuming feed B, which was different from the fish group consuming

feed C and D, with $64.2 \pm 5.7\%$ and $61.2 \pm 4.7\%$, respectively. Fish that consumed feed A produced a low feed efficiency value, of $54.0 \pm 7.8\%$.

Initial and final body proximate composition. The proximate body composition of kelabau fish both at the beginning of the study and at the end of the study and after the fish had been reared for 60 days by feeding with different lipid content is presented in Table 3. Fish body protein content at the end of the study tended to decrease with an increasing feed lipid concentration until the lipid content reached $9.0 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ but not significantly ($p \geq 0.05$). Fish body lipid content tended to increase with increasing feed lipid content, while the ash content tended to be the same ($p \geq 0.05$). FNE content tended to decrease up to $7 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ feed lipid content and increased again with increasing lipid content ($p \geq 0.05$).

Table 3

Initial and final body proximate composition of *Osteochilus melanopleura* reared for 60 days on diets containing different lipid concentrations (in % dry weight)

Parameters	Feed lipid level (% Dry weight)			
	A(5 g 100 g ⁻¹)	B(7 g 100 g ⁻¹)	C(9 g 100 g ⁻¹)	D(11 g 100 g ⁻¹)
Initial proximate composition (%)				
Protein	62.1	62.1	62.1	62.1
Lipid	21.9	21.9	21.9	21.9
Ash	13.5	13.5	13.5	13.5
FNE	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Final proximate composition (%)				
Protein	66.1 ± 1.1^b	63.1 ± 0.9^a	62.5 ± 0.8^a	63.0 ± 1.3^a
Lipid	19.8 ± 1.7^a	23.9 ± 0.3^b	24.0 ± 1.7^b	24.6 ± 2.6^b
Ash	11.2 ± 0.5^a	11.5 ± 0.9^a	11.3 ± 1.1^a	10.5 ± 0.9^a
FNE	1.5 ± 0.4^b	0.5 ± 0.2^a	1.3 ± 0.2^b	1.4 ± 0.4^b

Numbers followed by the same letter in the same row indicate no significant difference ($p > 0.05$). FNE-Free Nitrogen Extract.

Discussion. Feeding with increased lipid content of up to $7 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ significantly improved the growth performance of *O. melanopleura*, which then decreased with the rising of lipid content of up to $11 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$. This indicates that *O. melanopleura* consuming feed B are better able to utilize non-protein feed energy sources, particularly lipid, for their activities and are more efficient in utilizing protein for growth compared to fish groups consuming feed C, feed D, and fish groups consuming feed A. According to Halver & Hardy (2002), the availability of energy, particularly from carbohydrate as an energy source in addition to fat and protein, is used primarily for metabolism, then for growth, and finally for reproduction in nature. As a result, if the energy requirements for metabolism are met, extra nutrients or energy will be retained or used for reproduction. Wilson (1994) added that if energy is scarce, other nutrients such as protein will be metabolized for energy, resulting in delayed fish growth.

In this study, the feed utilization efficiency ranges from 55 to 79%. Feed efficiency in the study is nearly identical to the results of Abdel-Ghany's et al (2021) on tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed with $7 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ lipid content, resulting in a lower FCR than the fish group that received feed with greater lipid content. Deng et al (2021) reported high feed efficiency findings in the red tail fish (*Hemibagrus wyckiioides*) fed with $3 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$, $5.5 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$, $8 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$, $10.5 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$, and $13 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ lipid content, with feed efficiency values ranging from 90.98% to 113.37% and a biomass growth only reaching 7.17-8.31 g. The feed efficiency obtained in this study is still in line with the results of Wu et al (2020) on the grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idellus*) and Li et al (2016) on *Nubea diachantus* but the feed efficiency value is lower. The research of Wu et al (2020) on *C. idellus* indicated an increase of feed efficiency from 37 to 80%. Guo et al (2019) also obtained feed efficiency of *Micropterus salmoides* fish of 66.66% at 3% feed lipid content, increasing to 83.34% in fish consuming feed with 13% lipid content.

Feed consumption rate describes the level of energy uptake by living organisms. The results of this study showed almost the same level of feed consumption between treatments, but gave different levels of feed utilization as seen in treatment B. This can be seen from the value of the high feed efficiency, protein efficiency ratio, protein retention, lipid retention and energy retention, compared to other treatments. This is in accordance with the opinion of Elliott (1979), stating that a minimal level of energy uptake causes the body condition of the fish to remain unchanged or to have a low growth, whereas if the level of energy uptake from the amount of feed consumed is optimal, it causes high growth and the level of feed efficiency will be maximized.

The retention of nutrients such as protein retention, lipid retention and energy retention in the body illustrates the quality of the feed given. The high value of feed retention indicates that the feed given is very good, and vice versa if the feed retention value is low, then the feed quality is also low. The protein retention value in the fish group that consumed feed B gave the highest protein retention value, of $153.19 \pm 18.62\%$, compared to fish groups A, B and D ($p \leq 0.05$). The highest lipid retention was obtained in fish group B, with a lipid retention value of $267.62 \pm 33.79\%$, followed by fish groups A ($175.47 \pm 41.59\%$), C ($164.74 \pm 22.39\%$), and the lowest lipid retention value was obtained in fish group D ($135.13 \pm 23.01\%$) ($p \leq 0.05$). The highest energy retention was obtained in the fish group consuming feed B with a value of $133.55 \pm 16.55\%$, followed by fish groups consuming feed C, D and A ($p \leq 0.05$). The high value of nutrient retention in the fish group consuming feed B indicates that the quality of this feed is very good. Therefore, fish in group B are able to deposit protein in their body and convert it for better growth. The high lipid and energy retention values in fish group B also illustrate the high potential for building energy reserves, which will be used when energy availability is reduced. This opinion is in accordance with the opinion of Halver & Hardy (2002), which states that a high nutrient retention provides the best effect for growth and the availability of sufficient energy reserves if external energy sources (feed) are not sufficient.

The use of energy by fish group B is quite efficient. This is evident from the high energy retention value acquired by fish group B, which is 133.55% , while the remaining 16.70% is lost in the form of faeces and urine and utilized for other activities. According to Elliot (1979), the energy lost in faeces (F) and urine (U) is around 15% and $3-5\%$, respectively, while the remaining 80% is utilized for metabolism and growth. According to this viewpoint, faeces and urine account for 20.0% of the 36.22% of energy lost, whereas other activities account for 16.22% . The energy that remains after these activities is stored or retained in tissues and muscles. The range of energy spent for other activities was higher in the other treatments, ranging from 25.80% (C) to 33.70% (D). Feeding with increasing lipid content up to $7 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ will considerably improve *O. melanopleura* growth performance, whereas feeding with rising lipid content up to $11 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ would decrease the growth performance. *O. melanopleura* fed feed with $7 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ lipid content are better able to use feed protein sources for growth than fish fed feed C and D, which contain more lipid ($9 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $11 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$). This suggests that the protein consumed is not always utilized for growth. According to Zonneveld et al (1991), in nature, nutrients should be available first for metabolism, then for growth, and finally for reproduction. This means that once the nutrients or energy requirements for metabolism and growth are met, excess nutrients or energy will be stored or used for reproduction. Based on growth and feed efficiency, the optimum feed lipid level for kelabau is $7 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$. This result is similar to that of Sankian et al (2017) on golden mandarin fish (*Siniperca scherzeri*), but it is lower than in other fish, such as *M. salmoides* ($18.0 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$) (Guo et al 2019) and red-tailed catfish *Hemibagrus wyckiioides* ($10.5 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$) (Deng et al 2021). The optimal feed lipid content of $7 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ in this study was also lower than the results of Meng et al (2019) in rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*), which exhibited the highest growth and feed utilization efficiency at an optimum lipid level of $23.3 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$.

Higher results were also found in Gulf Corvina fish (*Cynoscion orthonopterus*) requiring $11 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ lipid (González-Félix et al 2015) and in topmouth culter (*Culter alburnus basilewsky*) $8 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ at $40-45 \text{ g } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$ feed protein (Zhang et al 2015). The

best growth in rohu fish (*Labeo rohita*) was observed for feed with 9 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid content (Gandotra et al 2017). Chinese perch (*Siniperca chuatsi*) was able to grow well at 12 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid content (Wang et al 2018). The increase in body nutrient levels during the study indicates that the feed given is able to be utilized by fish for growth. This is in line with the opinion of Zonneveld et al (1991) that growth is the result of two processes, namely a process that tends to reduce body energy and a process that begins with food intake and ends with the preparation of body elements. Body protein levels decreased with an increasing feed lipid content up to 9 g 100 g⁻¹, then increased again at higher lipid levels, of 11 g 100 g⁻¹. Body lipid content increased with increasing feed lipid content. This indicates lipid deposition in the fish body. Ash content did not differ between treatments, indicating that lipid did not play a role in increasing the minerals concentration in the body. Body FNE content decreased with an increasing feed lipid content up to 7 g 100 g⁻¹, and then it increased with an increasing feed lipid content. This indicates that in treatment B (7 g 100 g⁻¹), more energy from carbohydrates is used for fish activity.

Conclusions. The growth performance rises as the lipid content of the meal increases. In comparison to other treatments, *O. melanopleura* that were fed a diet containing 7 g 100 g⁻¹ lipid demonstrated the best weight growth, relative growth, feed efficiency, protein efficiency ratio, protein retention, lipid retention, and energy retention.

Acknowledgements. The authors express their gratitude to the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science for funding this research in 2023, through the BOPTN program.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abdel-Ghany H. M., Salem M. E. S., Ezzat A. A., Essa M. A., Helal A. M., Ismail R. F., El-Sayed A. F. M., 2021 Effects of different levels of dietary lipids on growth performance, liver histology and cold tolerance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Journal of Thermal Biology* 96:102833.
- Aizam Z. A., Roos S. C., Ang K. J., 1983 Some aspects of the biology of the kelabau fish *Osteochilus melanopleurus* (Bleeker). *Pertanika* 6(3):99-106.
- Andriani Y., Setiawati M., Sunarno M. T. D., 2018 Feed digestibility and growth performance of gourami, *Osphronemus goramy* Lacepede, 1801 fed with glutamine addition. *Journal of Indonesian Ichthyology* 19(1):1-11.
- Coutinho J. J. O., Neira L. M., Gonçalves de Sandre L. C., Da Costaa J. I., Martins M. I. E. G., Portella M. C., Carneiro D. J., 2018 Carbohydrate-to-lipid ratio in extruded diets for Nile tilapia farmed in net cages. *Aquaculture* 497:520-525.
- Dewantoro E., Dhahiyat Y., Rostika R., Zahidah, Iskandar, 2018 Growth performance of tinfoil barb (*Barbonymus schwanenfeldii*) fed with different protein levels and energy/protein ratios on diet. *AAFL Bioflux* 11(4):1300-1310.
- Deng J., Zhang X., Sun Y., Zhang L., Mi H., 2021 Optimal dietary lipid requirement for juvenile Asian red-tailed catfish (*Hemibagrus wyckioides*) dietary lipid level for *Hemibagrus wyckioides*. *Aquaculture Reports* 20:100666.
- Effendi H., 2003 [Water quality assessment for management of aquatic resources and environment]. Kanisius, Yogyakarta, pp. 168-169. [In Indonesian].
- Elliott I. M., 1979 Energetics of freshwater teleosts. Symposium of the Zoological Society of London, 29 p.
- Ensminger M. E., Oldfield J. G., Eeinmann W. W., 1990 Feed and nutrition. Ensminger Publishing Co., California, USA, 1544 p.
- Furuichi M., 1988 Dietary requirements. In: Fish nutrition and mariculture. Watanabe T. (ed), pp. 21-78, Kanazawa International Fisheries Training Centre, Japan International Cooperation Agency.

- Gandotra R., Kumari R., Sharma M., Singh D., 2017 Growth response of juveniles of Rohu, *Labeo rohita* to different levels of lipids in the diet. *Fisheries and Aquaculture Journal* 8(1-4):3.
- González-Félix M. L., Minjarez-Osorio C., Perez-Velazquez M., Urquidez-Bejarano P., 2015 Influence of dietary lipids on growth performance and body composition of the Gulf corvina, *Cynoscion othonopterus*. *Aquaculture* 488:401-409.
- Guo J. J., Zhou Y. L., Zhao H., Chen W. Y., Chen Y. J., Lin S. M., 2019 Effect of dietary lipid level on growth, lipid metabolism and oxidative status of largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides*. *Aquaculture* 506:394-400.
- Halver J. E., Hardy R. W., 2002 *Fish nutrition*. 3rd Edition Academic Press, 500 p.
- Hepher B., 1990 *Nutrition of pond fishes*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, New York, 388 p.
- Li W., Wen X., Huang Y., Zhao J., Li S., Zhu D., 2016 Effects of varying protein and lipid levels and protein-to-energy ratios on growth, feed utilization and body composition in juvenile *Nibea diacanthus*. *Aquaculture Nutrition* 23(5):1035-1047.
- Lovell T., 1989 *Nutrition and feeding of fish*. Auburn University, New York, 260 p.
- Meng Y., Qian K., Ma R., Liu X., Han B., Wu J., Zhang L., Zhan T., Hua X., Tian H., Li C., 2019 Effects of dietary lipid levels on sub-adult triploid rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): 1. Growth performance, digestive ability, health status and expression of growth-related genes. *Aquaculture* 513:734394.
- Mokoginta I., Suprayudi M. A., Setiawati M., 1995 Nutritional requirements of Gurami fish (*Osphronemus gouramy* Lac). *Indonesian Fisheries Research Journal* 4:82-94.
- Ni P. J., Jiang W. D., Wu P., Liu Y., Kuang S. Y., Tang L., Tang W. N., Zhang Y. A., Zhou X. Q., Feng L., 2016 Dietary low or excess levels of lipids reduced growth performance, and impaired immune function and structure of head kidney, spleen and skin in young grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) under the infection of *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 55:28-47.
- Sankian Z., Khosravi S., Kim Y. O., Lee S. M., 2017 Effect of dietary protein and lipid level on growth, feed utilization, and muscle composition in golden mandarin fish *Siniperca scherzeri*. *Fish Aquatic Science* 20(7):1-7.
- Secci G., Parisi G., 2016 From farm to fork: Lipid oxidation in fish products. A review. *Italian Journal of Animal Science* 15(1):124-136.
- Susanto A., Hutabarat J., Anggoro S., Subandiyono, 2019 The effects of dietary protein level on the growth, protein efficiency ratio and body composition of juvenile Kelabau (*Osteochilus melanopleura*). *AAFL Bioflux* 12(1):320-326.
- Susanto A., Hutabarat J., Anggoro S., Subandiyono, 2020 The effects of dietary carbohydrate level on the growth performance, body composition and feed utilization of juvenile Kelabau (*Osteochilus melanopleura*). *AAFL Bioflux* 13(4):2061-2070.
- Takeuchi T., 1988 Laboratory work chemical evaluation of dietary nutrients. In: *Fish nutrition and mariculture*. Watanabe T. (ed), pp. 179-225, Kanazawa International Fisheries Training Centre, Japan International Cooperation Agency.
- Trenzado C. E., Carmona R., Merino R., García-Gallego M., Furné M., Domezain A., Sanz A., 2018 Effect of dietary lipid content and stocking density on digestive enzymes profile and intestinal histology of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture* 497:10-16.
- Wang J., Laing X. F., He S., Li J., Huang K., Zhang Y. P., Huang D., 2018 Lipid deposition pattern and adaptive strategy in response to dietary lipid in chinese perch (*Siniperca chuatsi*). *Nutrition & Metabolism* 15:2-11.
- Wang L., Lu Q., Luo S., Zhan W., Chen R., Lou B., Xu D., 2016 Effect of dietary lipids on growth performance, body composition, plasma biochemical parameters and liver fatty acids content of juvenile yellow drum (*Nibea albiflora*). *Aquaculture Reports* 4: 10-16.
- Webster C. C., Lim C., 2002 *Nutrient requirement and feeding of finfish for aquaculture*. CABI Publishing, CAB International, New York, USA, 448 p.
- Wilson R. P., 1994 Utilization of dietary carbohydrate by fish. *Aquaculture* 124:67-80.

- Wu W., Ji H., Yu H., Sun J., Zhou J., 2020 Effect of refeeding dietary containing different protein and lipid levels on growth performance, body composition, digestive enzyme activities and metabolic related gene expression of Grass Carp (*Ctenopharyngodon Idellus*) after overwinter starvation. *Aquaculture* 523:735196.
- Zhang Y. L., Song L., Liu R. P., Zhao Z. B., He H., Fan Q. X., Shen Z. G., 2015 Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, body composition and flesh quality of juvenile topmouth culter, *Culter alburnus* Basilewsky. *Aquaculture Research* 47(8):2633-2641.
- Zonneveld N., Huisman E. A., Boon J. H., 1991 [Principles of fish farming]. Publisher PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama, Jakarta, 318 p. [In Indonesian].
- *** NRC, National Research Council, 1993 Nutrient requirements of fish. Washington, 112 p.

Received: 06 December 2023. Accepted: 10 July 2024. Published online: 04 August 2024.

Authors:

Adi Susanto, Laboratory of Fish Nutrition, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University, 75123 Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: adisusanto@fpik.unmul.ac.id

Komsanah Sukarti, Laboratory of Fish Nutrition, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University, 75123 Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: Komsanahsukarti@fpik.unmul.ac.id

Andi Nikhlani, Laboratory of Fish Nutrition, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University, 75123 Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: A.Nikhlani@fpik.unmul.ac.id

Heru Kusdianto, Laboratory of Fish Nutrition, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University, 75123 Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: herukusdianto@fpik.unmul.ac.id

Ismail Fahmy Almadi, Integrated Laboratory, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University, 75123 Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: ismailfalmadi@fpik.unmul.ac.id

Akhmad Syafei Sidik, Integrated Laboratory, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Mulawarman University, 75123 Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia, e-mail: asyafeisidik@fpik.unmul.ac.id

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Susanto A., Sukarti K., Nikhlani A., Kusdianto H., Almadi I. F., Sidik A. S., 2024 The effects of dietary lipid level on the growth performance, body composition and feed utilization of juvenile kelabau (*Osteochilus melanopleura*). *AACL Bioflux* 17(4):1551-1559.